



長春社 Since 1968

The Conservancy Association

會址: 香港新界葵涌貨櫃碼頭路 77-81 號 Magnet Place 一期十三樓 1305-6 室 電話 Tel.:(852)2728 6781 傳真 Fax.:(852)2728 5538
Add.: Units 1305-6, 13/F, Tower 1, Magnet Place, 77-81 Container Port Road, 電子郵件 E-mail:cahk@cahk.org.hk
Kwai Chung, New Territories, H.K.
網址 Website:www.cahk.org.hk

2nd June 2022

Town Planning Board
15/F North Point Government Offices
333 Java Road
North Point
Hong Kong

By e-mail: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Comments on the Section 12A Application No. Y/YL-ST/1

The Conservancy Association OBJECTS to the captioned application.

1. Not in line with the planning intention

According to the Town Planning Board Guidelines for Application for Developments within Deep Bay Area (TPB PG-No. 12C), the application site is located within Wetland Buffer Area (WBA). The planning intention of WBA is *“to protect the ecological integrity of the fish ponds and wetland within the WCA and prevent development that would have a negative off-site disturbance impact on the ecological value of fish ponds”*.

By introducing a population of 11,312 and 4,176 flats, such large development scale would potentially induce adverse off-site disturbance impacts on adjacent wetland in Wetland Conservation Area (WCA), affect ecological function and integrity of Deep Bay wetland ecosystems. We opine that this is definitely not in line with the TPB PG-No. 12C and the planning intention of WBA.

2. Incompatible with the surrounding environment

Regarding Other Specified Uses (Comprehensive Development to include Wetland Restoration Area) (OU(CDWRA)), Section 9.4.8 of the Explanatory Statement of Approved San Tin Outline Zoning Plan (S/YL-ST/8) mentions that *“To be in line with the rural setting which is mainly low-rise residential developments and village houses, to minimize visual impact and to take into account the capacities of local road network*



and infrastructure in this area, development or redevelopment shall not result in a total development or redevelopment in excess of a maximum plot ratio of 0.4 and a maximum building height of 6 storeys including car park". The proposed application, comprising 29 residential blocks with 7 to 18 storeys, would be a large amendment to the original planning requirement. It is highly incompatible with the rural setting with low development density which is usually no more than 3 storeys high.

3. Inadequate details in Wetland Restoration and Creation Scheme

Despite provision of the Wetland Restoration and Creation Scheme (Fishpond Operation Plan), the applicant failed to show how the proposed restored wetlands in Wetland Restoration Area (WRA) and Conservation Area (CA) zone ensures the aim of wetland restoration and managed in long-term. Here we highlight some major concerns:

- Regarding future pond operator (Section 2.2), there were no further details to justify how the future fish farming operation "*similar with practice in commercial fishponds*" would not conflict with "*conservation friendly operation*"
- Regarding financial arrangement (Section 2.3), there were no details to demonstrate how long-term management of wetland can be secured.
- Regarding water source (Section 5.1), the water budget for the WRA, with reference to Hong Kong Observatory data climatological means 1981-2010, might not reflect the worst-case scenario. The conclusion that "*there will be a net gain of water*" might be too optimistic.
- Regarding construction of the restored wetland (Section 8.3), it was unclear if all related works were listed and assessed. It is doubtful how the principle of "no-net-loss in wetland" would be upheld.

4. Adverse ecological impacts

With reference to Ecological Survey Programme (Table 3.1) of Ecological Impact Assessment (EcoIA), some surveys are still incomplete. It would be surprising for the applicant to come up with conclusion that all potential adverse ecological impacts are fully assessed and mitigated. We are highly doubtful that such rezoning application ensures ecological integrity of WBA, WCA, and the entire Deep Bay. We have to reiterate some of our major concerns in ecological aspects:



長春社 Since 1968

The Conservancy Association

會址: 香港新界葵涌貨櫃碼頭路 77-81 號 Magnet Place 一期十三樓 1305-6 室 電話 Tel.:(852)2728 6781 傳真 Fax.:(852)2728 5538
Add.: Units 1305-6, 13/F, Tower 1, Magnet Place, 77-81 Container Port Road, 電子郵件 E-mail:cahk@cahk.org.hk
Kwai Chung, New Territories, H.K.
網址 Website:www.cahk.org.hk

- Ecological disturbance on adjacent egretries, including but not limited to Mai Po Village Egretty, Mai Po Lung Village Egretty
- Disruption on flight path of birds, particularly breeding egrets and herons from the egretries
- Disturbance on birds and other wildlife during construction of WRA

5. Cumulative impacts

Over the past decade, there were already cumulative loss of wetlands in the Deep Bay wetland ecosystem. For instance, the majority of Tin Shui Wai, Yuen Long Industrial Estate, Fairview Park and Palm Spring as well as Futian District of Shenzhen were all built on wetland. Many large-scale development applications within WBA have been approved or submitted for approval. In future, the adjacent San Tin/Lok Ma Chau Development Node, Northern Link, San Tin Technopole under Northern Metropolis Development Strategy would further increase development pressure within the region. In such case, the proposed development would merely constitute greater pressure in the already rather fragile Deep Bay ecosystem.

Yours faithfully,
Chow Oi Chuen
Campaign Officer
The Conservancy Association